

KEARNEY PUZEY DAMONTE LTD.

AUDREY DAMONTE, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 4244  
Email: adamonte@nvlawfirm.com  
JAMES W. PUZEY, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 5745  
E-mail: jpuzey@nvlawfirm.com  
KEARNEY PUZEY DAMONTE LTD.  
800 S. Meadows Parkway, Suite 800  
Reno, Nevada 89521  
Telephone: (775) 851-8700  
Facsimile: (775) 851-7681

DONNA M. WITTIG, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 11015  
KEARNEY PUZEY DAMONTE, LTD.  
E-mail: dwittig@nvlawfirm.com  
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1010  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Telephone: (702) 760-0177  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff Michele Van Winkle*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MICHELE VAN WINKLE,  
  
Plaintiff,

vs.

GENERAL MOTORS COMPANY;  
GENERAL MOTORS LLC;  
DOES 1-10, inclusive; and ROE  
CORPORATIONS 1-10, inclusive,  
  
Defendant.

Case No: 3:24-cv-00353-MMD-CSD

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
CONTINUE DISCOVERY  
DEADLINES  
(SECOND REQUEST)**

Pursuant to LR 26-3 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), Plaintiff Michele Van Winkle and Defendants General Motors Company and General Motors LLC stipulate to continue by 90 days certain discovery deadlines in the Amended Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (ECF No. 25). This is the Parties' second request for a discovery extension. The current request is being made because the medical and family underlying the Parties' first extension request have remained, causing limited ability of Plaintiff's counsel, Mr. Jung, to participate in this case's handling. This week, new counsel for Plaintiff joined the firm and will be taking over case handling in Mr. Jung's absence, the length of which is unknown.

**I. DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE**

The Parties have participated in the following discovery to date:

1. The Parties served their Initial Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) Disclosures of Witnesses and Documents.
2. Defendants served their First Set of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents upon Plaintiff.
3. The Parties served their Designations of Expert Witnesses.
4. Plaintiff served her First Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) Supplemental Disclosure.
5. Plaintiff served her responses to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents.
6. Plaintiff served Written Discovery Requests to Defendants.

**II. DISCOVERY REMAINING TO BE COMPLETED**

The following discovery remains to be completed:

1. The Parties to conduct the depositions of Plaintiff and Defendants' Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) Designee(s), designated expert witnesses, and fact witnesses identified during discovery.
2. Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's Written Discovery Requests, due May 27, 2025.

**III. REASONS WHY DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED WITHIN THE TIME FRAME OF THE DISCOVERY PLAN**

Pursuant to Local Rule 26-3, good cause/excusable neglect exists for the requested extension. As explained in more detail in the Parties' first stipulated extension request, Mr. Jung was handling this matter for Plaintiff. At the time of the first request, Mr. Jung was experiencing medical and personal matters impacting his ability to complete discovery. Those issues have unfortunately continued. Recently, on May 19, 2025, new counsel was hired by Plaintiff's counsel's firm to take over handling of this and many other of Mr. Jung's cases. Ms. Wittig and Mr. Jung discussed case details on May 21, 2025, and Ms. Wittig immediately contacted Defendants' counsel to request another stipulated extension, which counsel graciously agreed to the current stipulation on May 23, 2025. Since the prior discovery extension, Plaintiff served

written discovery to Defendants, which responses are due May 27, 2025, and with his limited abilities since the first extension, was unable to participate in the remaining discovery needing to be completed. The current requested extension will provide the Parties with the necessary time to complete outstanding discovery, including party depositions and remaining written discovery. This Stipulation is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

#### **IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING DISCOVERY**

Based on the foregoing, the Parties agree to extend the discovery deadlines set forth in their Amended Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (ECF No. 25) as follows below:

<b>Discovery Deadline</b>	<b>Current Deadline</b>	<b>Proposed Deadline</b>
Final Date to Amend Pleadings or Add Parties	December 2, 2024	No change.
Initial Expert Disclosures Deadline	December 30, 2024	No change.
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures Deadline	January 27, 2025	No change.
Discovery Cut-Off Date	May 27, 2025	August 25, 2025
Dispositive Motions Deadline	June 26, 2025	September 24, 2025
Proposed Joint Pre-Trial Order Deadline	July 28, 2025	October 27, 2025

#### **V. TRIAL DATE**

The Court has not yet set a trial date in this matter. The Court shall set a trial date following the filing of a Proposed Joint Pre-Trial Order pursuant to LR 16-4.

...

...

...

...

KEARNEY PUZEY DAMONTE LTD.

**VI. REQUEST NUMBER**

This is the Parties' second request to extend the time to complete discovery.

WHEREFORE, the Parties, by and through their respective undersigned counsel of record, stipulate to extend the discovery deadlines as outlined above. The Parties respectfully ask that the Court set the new discovery dates as stipulated above.

**IT IS SO STIPULATED**

Dated May 23, 2025.

**KEARNEY PUZEY DAMONTE**

**EVANS FEARS SCHUTTERT  
MCNULTY MIKUS**

*/s/ Donna M. Wittig*

*/s/ Brennen D Marshall*

---

Audrey Damonte, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 5524  
Donna M. Wittig, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 11015  
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1010  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

---

Jay J. Schutttert, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 8656  
Brennen D Marshall, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 15864  
6720 Via Austi Parkway, Suite 300  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119  
*Attorneys for Defendants*

...  
...  
...  
...  
...

**ORDER**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the remaining discovery and pre-trial deadlines set forth in the Parties' Amended Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Docket No. 22) shall be continued to the following dates:

Discovery Cut-Off Date: **August 25, 2025**

Dispositive Motion Deadline: **September 24, 2025**

Proposed Joint Pre-Trial Order Deadline: **October 27, 2025**

The Court has not yet set a trial date in this matter. The Court shall set a trial date following the filing of a Proposed Joint Pre-Trial Order pursuant to LR 16-4.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**DATED:** May 23, 2025

KEARNEY PUZEY DAMONTE LTD.